

Dorien Brosens

Participation in prison programmes

Profile of (non-)participants, encouraging and
discouraging factors



Vrije Universiteit Brussel

**Participation in prison programmes:
Profile of (non-)participants, encouraging and discouraging
factors**

Dorien Brosens

Promotor: Prof. Dr. Liesbeth De Donder

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of PhD in
'Pedagogische Wetenschappen – richting Agogische Wetenschappen'
Faculty of Psychology and Educational Sciences, Department of Educational Sciences
Adult Educational Sciences
Vrije Universiteit Brussel

July 2015

Dissertation committee

Promotor

Prof. Dr. Liesbeth De Donder
Department of Educational Sciences
Vrije Universiteit Brussel
Belgium

Exam Committee

Dr. Bart Claes
School of Law
University of Sheffield
United Kingdom

Prof. Dr. Maurice De Greef
Department of Educational Sciences
Vrije Universiteit Brussel
Belgium

Prof. Dr. Koen Lombaerts
Department of Educational Sciences
Vrije Universiteit Brussel
Belgium

Prof. Dr. Marc Theeboom
Department of Sport Policy and Management
Vrije Universiteit Brussel
Belgium

Prof. Dr. Stijn Vandevelde
Department of Special Needs Education
Universiteit Gent
Belgium

Acknowledgements

Writing a dissertation... It has been quite an adventure. My story started in June 2011 when Dominique and Liesbeth asked if I would be interested in writing a PhD. I was surprised by this request as I was planning to study a master's in Criminology. Today, I am still very glad to have accepted this opportunity, as it has contributed to both my personal enrichment as well as my personal development. Furthermore, during the past four years, I came across many interesting and inspiring people. Many of these individuals have been supportive and helpful at various stages of this work. First, I would like to extend my deep gratitude to my promotor Liesbeth De Donder. Your expertise, generous guidance and support during the past four years were of utmost importance. You showed me that conducting research is so much more than sitting in an office and writing books or articles. At the moments I needed feedback, a helping hand or an extra boost, I could always count on you. Thank you.

Together with Liesbeth, I would like to thank the 'daddy' of our research group, Dominique, who has also taught me the business of conducting research. You included me in the BAS research group as a full member, although I was a little bit 'the outsider' as my research did not focus on older people. Nevertheless, you succeeded to never let me feel like 'an outsider'. Your expertise, practical insight, enthusiasm and energy have been of great value to me.

An-Sofie and Sarah, my colleagues of 'den tofste bureau', are the proof that colleagues can become friends. Both of you ensured that I left for work with a smile. You have always been a great source of reliable help and provided the necessary distraction when needed.

Furthermore, working in a research group contributed to the supportive climate in which I was working during the past four years. Having such great co-workers and excellent researchers made my time at the VUB very pleasant. Therefore, I would like to extend my appreciation to the other BAS-members: Bram, Daan, Davy, Deborah, Emily, Nico, Renfeng, Sofie, and Tine. And off course also my colleagues from the Netherlands: Maurice and Tinie. Keep the team spirit alive! Besides, other colleagues of the department of Educational Sciences have been supportive during my PhD-journey. Special thanks to Ankelien, Bieke, Emmeline, Free, Jeltsen, Koen, Myriam, Sven, Tom, Willem, and Wolfgang. Also many thanks to all the other co-workers of our department that I do not mention by name for being such great colleagues.

Beside my research colleagues, I would like to acknowledge the activity organisers of the prison of Antwerp for their support and cooperation throughout the research. Without you, the research project would not have been possible. The prison of Antwerp is an inspiring workplace environment where unexpected things can happen everyday. Although the steering committee had to face various challenges during the research, you never lost the energy and courage to make it a success.

Finally, the support of my family and friends has been indispensable. First of all, I would like to express my deepest appreciation towards my parents and sisters. Thank you for always believing in me from the beginning till the end. I have no words to describe my gratitude towards all of you, so I can only say, thank you for being such a supportive and loving family. Also thanks to my friends Cathy, Derrick, Dries, Jennifer, Kevin, Maarten, Maartje, Sophie and Stephanie and 'de Brusselse agogen' Bert, Dorien, Jill, Leene, Sanne, and Wim (note the alphabetical order) for the unforgettable dinners, parties, weekends and holidays. I will always appreciate the moments of distraction when I needed them. And then, the last one to thank is you Jonas. Thank you for being my partner and friend, my help and stay. Thank you for your patience, support, the wonderful moments and never failing to listen to my nagging. You gave me the energy that I needed during the last phase of my PhD.

Table of contents

Dissertation committee	iii
Acknowledgements	v
Table of contents	vii
List of figures	xi
List of tables	xiii

Chapter 1: General introduction.....	1
Abstract.....	1
1 The prison population	2
2 Participation in prison programmes.....	4
2.1. Prisoners' right to have access to prison programmes: A European perspective	4
2.2. Prison programmes in practice	5
2.3. Theoretical perspectives on participation in prison programmes	7
2.4. Participation of prisoners in prison programmes in Flanders.....	9
2.4.1. Policy-driven offer of prison programmes	9
2.4.2 Research into participation in prison programmes in Flanders.....	10
3 Research framework	12
4 Thesis structure	14
References	15

Chapter 2: Scoping review: Participation in prison programmes: Encouraging and discouraging factors.....	21
Abstract.....	22
1 Introduction	23
1.1. Research goals.....	25
2 Methodology	26
3 Results.....	27
3.1. Education	36
3.1.1. Motivating factors	36
3.1.2. Barriers.....	37
3.2. Sport	38
3.2.1. Motivating factors	38
3.2.2. Barriers	38
3.3. Wellbeing and health.....	39
3.3.1. Motivating factors	39
3.3.2. Barriers.....	39
3.4. Culture	40
3.4.1. Motivating factors	40
3.4.2. Barriers	40
3.5. Vocational training	40
3.5.1. Motivating factors	40
3.5.2. Barriers	41
4 Discussion.....	41
4.1. Implications for practice and policy.....	42
4.2. Limitations of this review	43

4.3. Paths for future research	44
References	45
Chapter 3: Building a research partnership in a prison context: From collaboration to co-construction.....	49
Abstract.....	49
1 Introduction	50
2 Challenges associated with participatory research	51
3 Shaping the purpose and scope.....	54
3.1. The research request	54
3.2. Forming a partnership	54
3.3. Obtaining insight into the various expectations and needs.....	55
4 Research context and data collection.....	57
4.1. Development of the questionnaire	57
4.2. Testing the questionnaire	58
4.3. The survey phase	58
4.3.1. Communication with stakeholders	58
4.3.2. Conducting the survey	60
5 Interpretation and application of research outcomes	62
6 Challenges associated with prison research	63
6.1. Ethical challenges	64
6.2. Emotional challenges	65
7 Concluding remarks	65
References	69
Chapter 4: Life long learning: The prison library as a bridge to participation	73
Abstract.....	74
1 Introduction	75
2 Methodology	76
2.1. Data collection	76
2.2. Participants	76
2.3. Measurement of participation	77
3 Results	77
3.1. How many prisoners participate in correctional programmes?	77
3.2. Do prisoners participate in multiple correctional programmes?	78
4 Discussion.....	79
References	80
Chapter 5: Participation in prison activities: An analysis of the determinants of participation ..	83
Abstract.....	84
1 Introduction	85
2 Theoretical background	86
3 The current study	89
4 Data en methods	89
4.1. Participants	89
4.2. Data collection	90
4.3. Measures	90
4.3.1. Dependent variable	90
4.3.2. Independent variables	91
4.3.3. Analytic strategy	92
5 Results	93

5.1.	Descriptive statistics	93
5.2.	Correlations.....	93
5.3.	Regressions	96
6	Discussion.....	97
6.1.	Participation in prison activities	97
6.2.	Limitations and future research.....	100
6.3.	Implications for policy and practice.....	102
	References	104
Chapter 6: Lifelong learning programs in prison: Influence of social networks on participation		
.....		109
	Abstract.....	110
1	Introduction	111
2	Methods	113
3	Findings	113
4	Conclusion	116
	References	117
Chapter 7: Understanding the barriers to prisoners' participation in sport activities		119
	Abstract.....	120
1	Introduction	121
2	Barriers to participation in sport activities within community settings	122
3	Barriers to participation in sport programs in prison.....	123
4	Aim.....	124
5	Method	124
5.1.	Sample	124
5.2.	Data collection	125
5.3.	Measures	125
5.4.	Data analysis	126
6	Results.....	126
6.1.	Sample characteristics.....	126
6.2.	Barriers to exercise among prisoners	128
6.3.	Bivariate analyses	130
6.3.	Logistic regression results	132
7	Discussion and conclusion	135
	References	139
Chapter 8: Barriers to participation in vocational education among prisoners.....		141
	Abstract.....	142
1	Introduction	143
1.1.	Barriers to participation in adult education outside prison	144
1.2.	Barriers to participation in vocational education in prison	145
2	Aim.....	146
3	Methodology	146
3.1.	Participants	146
3.2.	Procedures	147
3.3.	Measures	147
3.4.	Data analyses	149
4	Results.....	149
4.1.	Types of barriers to participation in vocational education	149
4.2.	Types of non-participants.....	151

4.3.	Bivariate analysis: Factors influencing the experience of the different types of barriers	153
4.4.	Logistic regression analysis: Factors influencing the differences between participants and different types of non-participants	156
5	Discussion	157
5.1.	Limitations	160
5.2.	Implications for policy and practice and future research	161
	References	162
Chapter 9: General discussion, conclusions and future challenges		165
	Abstract	165
1	Overview of the research questions and results	166
2	Implications for policy and practice	173
2.1.	Consider correctional institutions as places where vulnerable people can be found	173
2.2.	Towards a participation-friendly policy	176
3	Limitations of the dissertation and directions for future research	179
	References	183
Dutch summary		189
	References	192
Bibliography		193